



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,265	03/29/2004	Jason Batai	120-346	2766
76661	7590	03/20/2008	EXAMINER	
DAVID A. DAGG, ESQ.			NGUYEN, KHAI N	
44 CHAPIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWTON, MA 02459			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DAVE@DAVEDAGG.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/812,265	Applicant(s) BATAI, JASON
	Examiner Khai N. Nguyen	Art Unit 2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-16 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-16, 18-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/1449)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on December 13, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1, 13 and 25 have been emended. Claims 5 and 17 have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-25 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 13, and 25 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coles et al. (U.S. Publication 2004/0008828 A1 hereinafter "Coles") in view of Anderson (U.S. Patent 5,757,904).

Regarding claim 1, Coles teaches a method for processing a received call (Figs. 1-3), comprising:

routing the received call to an agent (Fig. 1, 110-112 AGENT POSITION 1-N, 114-116 Telephones, 122-124 PBX Telephone Lines, 150 Private Branch Exchange (PBX), 126-128 Service Provider Lines, paragraph hereinafter "par" [0024] lines 1-5);

detecting a change of mode event (Fig. 1, 130 Interactive Voice-Response (IVR), par [0024] lines 6-9);

responsive to said detecting said change of mode event, entering a muted command mode during which a caller of said call is prevented from hearing said agent speaking; receiving, during said muted command mode, at least one call description voice command from said agent (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, 170 SPEECH, 172 VOICE RECOGNITION, par [0028] lines 1-6, i.e., mute the voice channel and speak one or more voice commands "keywords"); and

storing at least one activity code associated with said at least one call description voice command in a data record associated with said received call, wherein said at least one activity code describes said received call (Fig. 1, 110-112, 118-120 Workstations, 140 Host, 142 Local Area Network (LAN), par [0024] lines 10-15, i.e., database contains information relevant to the call, such as customer data record, etceteras).

However, Coles does not specifically disclose the activity code. In the same field of endeavor, Anderson teaches agents to enter a wrap up code "activity code" that classifies the call information into one of a plurality of categories (Anderson – Figs. 5-6, col. 7 lines 35-36).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a known technique to a known device (i.e., speech/voice recognition to a Voice Response Unit for Interactive Voice Response services) ready for improvement to yield predictable results (see KSR – MPEP 2143). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary in the art to incorporate the wrap up codes

so that the agent can report the call description/activity code, as taught by Anderson, into the method of Coles in order to save the time that the agent reports the wrap up/activity code at the end of the call, and to enhance the agent hand-free activities at the call center.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 14, and 16, Coles teaches a system and a method wherein said change of mode event comprises a termination of said received call and further comprising detecting said change of mode event prior to termination of said received call (Figs. 1-3, par [0035] lines 17-21).

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Coles teaches a system and a method wherein said change of mode event comprises detection of a signal from a manual interface mechanism on a telephone headset associated with said agent (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, 174 Converter, 178 HEADSET, par [0025] lines 1-9, and par [0027] lines 1-3).

Regarding claims 6-7, and 18-19 Coles teaches a method wherein said activity code indicates that a sale occurred during said call (Fig. 3, step 230, par [0041] lines 1-3, i.e., sale invoice); and wherein said activity code indicates an identity of a calling party involved in said call (Fig. 1, 140, 412, par [0024] lines 13-15. i.e., customer data record).

Coles does not specifically disclose the activity code. In the same filed of endeavor, Anderson teaches to record a lot of information about the call using warp up

"activity" codes (Anderson – Figs. 5-6, col. 1 lines 20-23, and lines 49-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the activity code, as taught by Anderson, into the method of Coles in order to record the activities via voice commands/keywords recognition.

Regarding claims 8-11 and 20-23, Coles teaches the method and the system, further comprising: receiving an agent status voice command from said agent; the availability of said agent to receive calls, agent is logging off the system, agent is available to receive calls, and agent temporarily unavailable to receive calls in response to said agent status voice command (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, 162 Memory Database, Fig. 3, Keywords Dictionary, par [0023] lines 16-21, and par [0026] lines 1-3, i.e., memory database for dictionary of keywords).

Coles discloses a method and a system for recognizing words from speech and dynamically matching the recognized words to keywords within a keyword dictionary. However, Coles does not specifically disclose the commands for internal agent status (i.e., agent availability, agent is log off, etceteras). In the same field of endeavor, Anderson teaches to report internal agent present status like absent (not logged in/log off), present (logged in), busy (temporarily unavailable), and not busy (available) (Anderson – Fig. 1,114, Figs. 7-8, col. 8 lines 30-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the internal agent status, as taught by Anderson, into the method/system of Coles in order to record the status via voice commands/keywords recognition.

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Coles a method and a system further comprising report logic operable to generate at least one report describing a plurality of received calls, wherein said report is based at least in part on said data record associated with said received call, and wherein said report reflects said activity code (Figs. 1-2, par [0010], and par [0024] lines 13-15, i.e., customer data records).

However, Coles does not specifically disclose the activity code. In the same field of endeavor, Anderson teaches to record a lot of information about the call using warp up "activity" codes (Anderson – Figs. 5-6, col. 1 lines 20-23, and lines 49-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the activity code, as taught by Anderson, into the method of Coles in order to record the activities via voice commands/keywords recognition

Regarding claim 13, Coles teaches a system for processing a received call (Figs. 1-3), comprising:

call receiving logic operable to route the received call to an agent (Fig. 1, 110-112 AGENT POSITION 1-N, 114-116 Telephones, 122-124 PBX Telephone Lines, 150 Private Branch Exchange (PBX), 126-128 Service Provider Lines, par [0024] lines 1-5); and

voice recognition logic (Fig. 2, 170 SPEECH, 172 VOICE RECOGNITION) operable to

detect a change of mode event (Fig. 1, 130 Interactive Voice-Response (IVR), par [0024] lines 6-9);

responsive to said change of mode event being detected, enter a muted command mode during which a caller of said call is prevented from hearing said agent speaking; receive, during said muted command mode, at least one call description voice command from said agent (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, 170 SPEECH, 172 VOICE RECOGNITION, par [0028] lines 1-6, i.e., mute the voice channel and speak one or more voice commands "keywords"), and

storing at least one activity code associated with said at least one call description voice command in a data record associated with said received call, wherein said at least one activity code describes said received call (Fig. 1, 110-112, 118-120 Workstations, 140 Host, 142 Local Area Network (LAN), par [0024] lines 10-15, i.e., database contains information relevant to the call, such as customer data record, etceteras).

However, Coles does not specifically disclose the activity code. In the same field of endeavor, Anderson teaches agents to enter a wrap up code "activity code" that classifies the call into one of a plurality of categories (Anderson – Figs. 5-6, col. 7 lines 35-36).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a known technique to a known device (i.e., speech/voice recognition to a Voice Response Unit for Interactive Voice Response services) ready for improvement to yield predictable results (see KSR – MPEP 2143). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to a person of ordinary in the art to incorporate the wrap up codes so that the agent can report the call description/activity code, as taught by Anderson, into the system of Coles in order to save the time that the agent reports the wrap up/activity code at the end of the call, and to enhance the agent hand-free activities at the call center.

Regarding claim 25, Coles teaches a system for processing a received call (Figs. 1-3), comprising:

means for routing the received call to an agent (Fig. 1, 110-112 AGENT POSITION 1-N, 114-116 Telephones, 122-124 PBX Telephone Lines, 150 Private Branch Exchange (PBX), 126-128 Service Provider Lines, par [0024] lines 1-5);

means for detecting a change of mode event (Fig. 1, 130 Interactive Voice-Response (IVR), par [0024] lines 6-9);

means, responsive to said detecting said change of mode event, for entering a muted command mode during which a caller of said call is prevented from hearing said agent speaking; means for receiving, during said muted command mode, at least one call description voice command from said agent (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, 170 SPEECH, 172 VOICE RECOGNITION, par [0028] lines 1-6, i.e., mute the voice channel and speak one or more voice commands "keywords"); and

means for storing at least one activity code associated with said at least one call description voice command in a data record associated with said received call, wherein said at least one activity code describes said received call (Fig. 1, 110-112, 118-120

Workstations, 140 Host, 142 Local Area Network (LAN), par [0024] lines 10-15, i.e., database contains information relevant to the call, such as customer data record, etceteras).

However, Coles does not specifically disclose the activity code. In the same field of endeavor, Anderson teaches agents to enter a wrap up code "activity code" that classifies the call into one of a plurality of categories (Anderson – Figs. 5-6, col. 7 lines 35-36).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a known technique to a known device (i.e., speech/voice recognition to a Voice Response Unit for Interactive Voice Response services) ready for improvement to yield predictable results (see KSR – MPEP 2143). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary in the art to incorporate the wrap up codes so that the agent can report the call description/activity code, as taught by Anderson, into the system of Coles in order to save the time that the agent reports the wrap up/activity code at the end of the call, and to enhance the agent hand-free activities at the call center.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI N. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. N. N./
Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Ahmad F. MATAR/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614